



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/764,750	01/18/2001	S. K. Lin	3158/0I189	7989
7590 05/27/2004			EXAMINER	
DARBY & DARBY P.C. 805 Third Avenue			NGUYEN, JENNIFER T	
New York, NY 10022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2674	10
			DATE MAILED: 05/27/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>√</b>						
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
<i>V</i>	09/764,750	LIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jennifer T Nguyen	2674				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- ion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirts period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' y statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	18 January 2001					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wishing 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	6) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the office of the oath or declaration is objected to by	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan correction is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received.  uments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	· <del></del>					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9)</li> </ol>		ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/764,750

Art Unit: 2674

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is responsive to amendment filed on 03/15/2004.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 3-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yang et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,606,088) in view of Nakamura et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,515,080) and further in view of Nakano et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,229,513).

Regarding claim 1, referring to Fig. 2, Yang teaches LCD monitor comprising: a panel module (20) having a gate driver (22) and a source driver (24); a control board (10) disposed on a first side of the panel module, comprising: an input interface (12) for receiving plural types video signal (i.e., V1-V3), adapted to select a first type video signal from the plural types of video signal and to generate a first video signal according to the first type video signal; a scaler module (16) is provided to receive the first digital video signal (V1); a micro-processing device (14) adapted to output a control signal to generate a gate/source-driving signal for the gate driver and the source driver according to the first digital video signal (col. 2, line 51 to col. 4, line 13).

Yang differs from claim 1 in that he does not specifically teach the scaler module comprising time control unit and a cover structure conjugating the frame structure in the aspect of the first side, and covering upon the first side of the panel module and the control board

Application/Control Number: 09/764,750

Art Unit: 2674

thereon. However, referring to Fig. 2, Nakamura teaches scaler module (24) comprising time control unit (43, 45) (from 4, line 60 to col. 5, line 18) and referring to Figs. 10-12, Nakano teaches a frame structure (SHD), covering the periphery of the panel module; and a cover structure (LF1, LF2) conjugating the frame structure (SHD) in the aspect of the first side, and covering upon the first side of the panel module and the control board thereon (col. 14, lines 28-55 and col. 15, lines 14). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the time control unit as taught by Nakamura and the frame structure and cover structure as taught by Nakano in the system of Yang in order to allow controlling the performances of the pixels in predetermined conditions and protect the inner electronic devices.

Regarding claim 3, Yang further teaches the video signal is provided from a computer, and the digital signal comprises RGB signals (col. 3, lines 1-13).

Regarding claim 4, Yang further teaches the input interface comprises an A/D converter (126) (col. 3, lines 1-13).

Regarding claim 5, the combination of Yang, Nakamura, and Nakano teaches the conventional LCD monitor comprises input interface is further adapted to select a second-type video signal from the plural types of video signals, and generate a second digital video signal according to the second-type video signal to the scale module, and the micro-processing device outputs a corresponding second control signal that controls the scale module to generate the gate/source-driving signal according to the second digital video signal, wherein the second-type video signal is from a video device (col. 2 of Yang, line 51 to col. 4, line 13).

Application/Control Number: 09/764,750

Art Unit: 2674

Regarding claim 6, the combination of Yang, Nakamura, and Nakano teaches a switching board (47) that is adapted to provide a switching signal to the scale module (24), whereby adjusting the gate/source driving signal and regulating the performance of pictures displayed on the panel module (col. 5, lines 19-65 of Nakamura).

Regarding claim 7, Yang further teaches a power module (18) for supplying electric power to the LCD monitor (col. 4, lines 30-47).

Regarding claims 8 and 9, Yang further teaches the power module comprises an AC/DC adapter for converting an alternating current source into at least one direct current source, wherein the direct current source is adapted to supply the LCD monitor direct currents (col. 4, lines 30-47).

Regarding claim 10, the combination of Yang, Nakamura, and Nakano teaches the cover structure is fabricated from materials for resisting electromagnetic effects (col. 2, lines 37-45 of Nakano).

4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yang et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,606,088) and Nakamura et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,515,080) in view of Nakano et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,229,513) and further in view of Dalgleish (U.S. Patent No. 6,373,476).

Regarding claim 2, the combination of Yang, Nakamura, and Nakano differs from claim 2 in that it does not specifically teach video signals comprises an EDID signal and memory device for storing the EDID signal. However, Dalgleish Teaches a video signal comprises an EDID signal (from col. 4, line 58 to col. 5, line 6). Dalgleish does not specifically teach the memory device is on control board. However, it would have been obvious to obtain the memory device is on control board in order to provide a simpler modular arrangement for the monitor.

Art Unit: 2674

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the EDID signal as taught by Dalgleish in the system of the combination of Yang, Nakamura, and Nakano in order to provide a communicating protocol between a host computer and the LCD monitor.

Page 5

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Jennifer T. Nguyen** whose telephone number is **703-305-3225**. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Richard A Hjerpe** can be reach at **703-305-4709**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, DC. 20231

Or faxed to: 703-872-9306 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal

Drive, Arlington, VA, sixth-floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

JNguyen 05/20/2004